



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/628,116

07/28/2000

Roman Sobolewski

M-8821 US

2593

20686

7590

07/06/2004

DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
370 SEVENTEENTH STREET
SUITE 4700
DENVER, CO 80202-5647

EXAMINER

MORAN, TIMOTHY J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2878

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

09/028,116



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

0604


DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on March 30, 2004 was filed after the mailing date of the Final Rejection on December 17, 2003. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, with the exception that the statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(e)(1) does not state that each item was first cited in a recent communication from a foreign patent office, as required under 37C.F.R. 1.97. In fact, the Schneider patent, the Gupta document, and the Il'in document were cited in previous PTO-1449 forms, while the other documents were first cited in a recent communication from a foreign patent office. However, since the submission appears to be bona fide, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

The references describe devices similar in nature to devices already discussed in previous office actions, and fail to describe an antireflective coating of the type described in the allowed claims.


CONSTANTINE HANNAHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 2878